SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 3, 2001 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S025519 People, Respondent

V.

Colin Raker Dickey, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to and including October 31, 2001.

S040704 People, Respondent

V.

Brian David Johnsen, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including December 3, 2001.

S056425 People, Respondent

v.

Richard Allen Davis, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including November 30,2001, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to serve a copy of the record correction motion on the Supreme Court upon its filing in the trial court.

S091804 In re Steven Clay Jackson

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to the informal response is hereby extended to and including October 29, 2001.

S092882 People, Respondent

V.

Bruce Edward Cooper, Appellant

Respondent's application for an extension of time to file the People's Supplemental Brief is hereby DENIED.

Bar Misc. 4186 In the Matter of the Application of the Committee of Bar Examiners of the State of California for Admission of Attorneys

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)

S094055 In re **Russell Montford Rick** on Discipline

It is ordered that Russell Montford Rick, State Bar No. 104118. be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including six months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on August 9, 2000. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S099101 In re **Laurinda Lockerby** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Laurinda Lockerby**, **State Bar No. 117725**, be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be actually suspended from the practice of law for 30 days and until she makes restitution to Shirley and Joseph Kaminski (or the Client Security Fund, if

appropriate) in the amount of \$850.00 plus 10% interest per annum from November 1, 1997, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on March 28, 2001, as modified by its order filed May 8, 2001; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate her actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. She is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating her actual suspension. If **Laurinda Lockerby** is actually suspended for two years or more, she shall remain actually suspended until she provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation. fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of her actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) If Laurinda **Lockerby** is actually suspended for 90 days or more, it is further ordered that she comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S099111 In re **Gordon David Soladar** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Gordon David Soladar**, **State Bar No. 86501**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation, including one year actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the

State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on May 16, 2001. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2002 and 2003.

*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S099259 In re **Evan Llewellyn Smith** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Evan Llewellyn Smith**, **State Bar No. 101369**, be suspended from the practice of law for 30 days, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on April 30, 2001. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S099262 In re **Gilbert Yoshiharu Nishino** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Gilbert Yoshiharu Nishino**, **State Bar No. 100036**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including restitution and three months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on April 23, 2001. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in

subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S099264 In re **Danny Robert Taylor** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Danny Robert Taylor**, **State Bar No. 91924**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days. He is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed March 28, 2001, as modified by its order filed May 11, 2001. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-third of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2002, 2003 and 2004. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)

S099276 In re **Juan Manuel Nunez** on Discipline

It is ordered that Juan Manuel Nunez, State Bar No. 165540, be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he makes restitution to Guadalupe Root in the amount of \$1500 plus 10% interest per annum from December 4, 1999; and until he makes restitution to Nydia Rodriguez in the amount of \$1200 plus 10% interest per annum from August 19, 1999; and until he makes restitution to Michael R. Harrison in the amount of \$1700 plus 10% interest per annum from April 7, 2000; and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on May 16, 2001; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California; and until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of

his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for termination of his actual suspension. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that respondent comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S099327 In re **Gregory Paul Mutz** on Discipline

It is ordered that Gregory Paul Mutz, State Bar No. 153481, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for six months, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on April 2, 2001, as modified by its order filed May 15, 2001; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S099328 In re **Andrew Kenneth Alger** on Discipline

It is ordered that Andrew Kenneth Alger, State Bar No. **142838**, be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for one year and until he makes restitution to Tamarra Navarro (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$2325 plus 10% interest per annum from March 14, 1997; and until he makes restitution to Michael Brocco (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$750 plus 10% interest per annum from June 24, 1998, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed May 15, 2001; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. If the period of actual suspension reaches or exceeds two years, it is also ordered that he remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that respondent perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7. *(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)